Washoe County School District Spanish Springs High School 2024-2025 Status Check

Table of Contents

| Goals | 3 |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Goal 1: Student Success | |
| Goal 2: Adult Learning Culture | 2 |
| Goal 3: Connectedness | 6 |

Goals

Goal 1: Student Success

Aligns with District Priority

Annual Performance Objective 1: By the end of the 24-25 school year, Spanish Springs High School will increase graduation rate to 85% or greater, which is an improvement from 83% in 23-24 school year. This will be done through a focus on credit attainment and credit recovery in all grades while working to close the achievement gap in core classes with our EL and IEP students.

Evaluation Data Sources: BIG, IC

| Improvement Strategy 1 Details | Status Checks | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|------|
| vement Strategy 1: Family Graduate Advocate Cristina Avalos will work with her caseload of students identified through the Early | \$ | Status Check | |
| Warning Indicator by meeting with the student's families to create a plan for students to be successful and engage in school. | Jan | Apr | June |
| Action Steps: - Generate FGA caseload based on students identified as high risk on the Early Warning System in BIG -Create a system for FGA to meet with caseload at least bi-weekly. Formative Measures: Early Warning Signs- BIG Position Responsible: Christina Avalos, Counselors | 15% | | |
| Student Groups This Strategy Targets: FRL, EL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk - Evidence Level: Has Rationale Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Student Success 1 | | | |

| Improvement Strategy 2 Details | Status Checks | | is |
|---|---------------|---------------|------|
| nprovement Strategy 2: Edgenuity credit recovery beginning the second semester of a student's freshman year. | S | Status Check | |
| Action Steps: -Identify students that have failed the first semester of a class to place in Edgenuity to recover credit -Review Edgenuity data at the end of each semester to find trends such as: students that aren't successful in Edgenuity, courses that students are more successful in than other (Ex. English vs math), etc. Formative Measures: Failure Rates of core content classes at the semester. Position Responsible: Wendy Hawkins, Tarin Myers/Counselors Student Groups This Strategy Targets: FRL, EL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk - Evidence Level: Has Rationale Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Student Success 1 | Jan 10% | Apr | June |
| Improvement Strategy 3 Details | | Status Checks | |
| Improvement Strategy 3: PBIS Individualized intervention meetings with guardians, student and intervention team to develop a plan for student to be successful that include | Status Check | | |
| PBIS incentives. Action Steps: - Identify students with concerns in the areas of behavior, attendance, and/or grades that would benefit from an intervention meeting -Schedule intervention meetings with family to generate an individualized plan for the student -Offer PBIS rewards to students for meeting their goals and/or making progress towards their goals. Formative Measures: Plan progress monitoring Position Responsible: Breanne Gonzales and Tami Nichols Evidence Level: Strong Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Student Success 1 | Jan 20% | Apr | June |
| No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue | e | | |

Goal 2: Adult Learning Culture
Aligns with District Priority

Annual Performance Objective 1: Classroom walk-throughs will indicate at least 75% of teachers consistently implement the four components of Teacher

Clarity of organization, clarity of explanation, clarity of examples and guided practice, clarity of assessment of student learning)

Evaluation Data Sources: Walk-through data

| Improvement Strategy 1 Details | Status Checks | | S |
|---|----------------------|--------------|------|
| Improvement Strategy 1: PLC's | | Status Check | ζ. |
| Professional Development | Jan | Apr | June |
| Administrators are attending the Teacher Clarity model sessions | | 71р1 | June |
| Action Steps: | | | |
| -Administration attend the Teacher Clarity sessions | | | |
| -Administration begins developing a plan for professional development for the 25-26 school year roll out | | | |
| Formative Measures: Providing professional development to staff when appropriate | | | |
| Position Responsible: Sean Hall, Breanne Gonzales, Levi Stafford, Wendy Hawkins, Silvia Marin | | | |
| Student Groups This Strategy Targets: | | | |
| FRL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk - Evidence Level: | | | |
| Moderate | | | |
| Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Adult Learning Culture 1 | | | |
| Improvement Strategy 2 Details | | Status Check | s |
| Improvement Strategy 2: PLC's | Status Check | | ζ |
| Administrators conduct walk throughs in teacher classrooms | Jan | Apr | June |
| Action Steps: | | | |
| -Administrators collect data on each component (Clarity of organization, clarity of explanation, clarity of examples and guided practice, clarity of assessment of student learning) to assist with the professional development design for the 25-26 school year training phase. | | | |
| Formative Measures: Analyzing teacher progress in administrative PLCs | | | |
| Position Responsible: Sean Hall, Wendy Hawkins, Levi Stafford, Sylvia Marin, Breanne Gonzales, Jake Metcalfe | | | |
| Student Groups This Strategy Targets: | | | |
| FRL, EL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk | | | |
| - Evidence Level: Moderate | | | |
| Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Adult Learning Culture 1 | | | |

| Improvement Strategy 3 Details | | Status Checks | |
|--|----------|---------------------|------|
| provement Strategy 3: PLC's | | Status Check | |
| DL Training for Implementation of Teacher Clarity | Jan | Apr | June |
| Action steps: -During Department Leader meetings, begin introducing the concepts of the Teacher Clarity model to build a foundation for the 25-26 school | | | |
| year training phase. Formative Measures: Attending PLCs by administration to determine implementation. | | | |
| Position Responsible: Department Leads, Administration | | | |
| Student Groups This Strategy Targets: FRL, EL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk - Evidence Level: Moderate | | | |
| Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Adult Learning Culture 1 | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue | ; | 1 | 1 |

Goal 3: Connectedness

Aligns with District Priority

Annual Performance Objective 1: The percentage of students identified as chronically absent will decrease from 23.55% in the 23-24 school year to 19% for 24-25 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: BIG. IC

| Improvement Strategy 1 Details | S | tatus Check | s |
|--|--------------|-------------|------|
| Improvement Strategy 1: Intervention Specialists - Teachers | Status Check | | |
| Action Steps: | Jan | Apr | June |
| Hold individualized intervention meetings to discuss attendance, grades and barriers for access to school for students that are identified as struggling. | | | |
| Formative Measures: Bi-Weekly Attendance reports | | | |
| Position Responsible: Breanne Gonzales, Tami Nichols, Rachel Espin, Silvia Marin, Counselors | | | |
| Student Groups This Strategy Targets: FRL, EL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk - Evidence Level: Moderate | | | |
| Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Connectedness 1 | | | |
| Improvement Strategy 2 Details | S | tatus Check | s |
| Improvement Strategy 2: Attendance Monitors | Status Check | | |
| Action steps: | Jan | Apr | June |
| - Place students that are chronically absent on attendance montiors | | | |
| Formative Measures: 20-Day, 45 day attendance monitors | | | |
| Position Responsible: Silvia Marin, Rachel Espin | | | |
| Student Groups This Strategy Targets: | | | |
| FRL, EL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk - Evidence Level: | | | |
| Has Rationale | | | |
| Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Connectedness 1 | | | |

| Improvement Strategy 3 Details | Status Checks | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------|------|--|
| Improvement Strategy 3: PBIS | | Status Check | | |
| Action Stone: | Jan | Apr | June | |
| Action Steps: - Provide PBIS incentives for students that meet their attendance goal on a minimum bi-weekly basis. | | | | |
| Formative Measures: Achieved Individualized Intervention meetings plan goals | | | | |
| Position Responsible: Breanne Gonzales, Tami Nichols | | | | |
| Student Groups This Strategy Targets: FRL, EL, Students with Disabilities, Foster/Homeless, Racial/Ethnic Groups, Chronically Absent, At Risk - Evidence Level: Strong Problem Statements/Critical Root Causes: Connectedness 1 | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue | ıe | | | |